UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN NORTHERN DIVISION

VENSON BRADLEY,	
Petitioner,	
v.	Case No. 2:07-cv-254 HON. R. ALLAN EDGAR
DAN QUIGLEY,	
Respondent.	/

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

Michigan state prisoner Venson Bradley filed a petition for writ of habeas corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The habeas petition was referred to Magistrate Judge Timothy P. Greeley for a report and recommendation pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and W.D. Mich. LCivR 72.1(d).

On May 17, 2010, Magistrate Judge Greeley submitted his report and recommendation. [Doc. No. 27]. The Magistrate Judge recommends that the habeas petition be denied and that any application for a certificate of appealability be denied pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2).

Petitioner Bradley has not timely filed an objection to the report and recommendation. After reviewing the record, the Court **ACCEPTS and ADOPTS** the report and recommendation pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and W.D. Mich. LCivR 72.3(b). The Court concludes that the 28 U.S.C. § 2254 petition for writ of habeas corpusshall be **DENIED and DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE**.

If petitioner Bradley files a notice of appeal, it will be treated as an application for a certificate of appealability which shall be **DENIED** pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2) and Fed. R.

App. P. 22(b)(1). For the reasons expressed in the report and recommendation, petitioner Bradley

has not made a substantial showing of the denial of a federal constitutional right. Reasonable jurists

could not find that this decision to dismiss with prejudice each and every claim for relief presented

in the habeas petition is debatable or erroneous. *Miller-El v. Cockrell*, 537 U.S. 322, 338 (2009);

Slack v. McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); Webb v. Mitchell, 586 F.3d 383, 401 (6th Cir. 2009).

A separate judgment will be entered.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 8, 2010.

/s/ R. Allan Edgar

R. ALLAN EDGAR

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

2